

## PATENT APPLICATION

DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM WITH METHODS FOR ASSISTING A USER WITH  
INPUTTING SOURCE CODE

Inventor: PETER SOLLICH, a citizen of Germany residing in Santa Cruz, California.

Assignee: Borland International, Inc.

John A. Smart  
Reg. No. 34,929  
Borland International, Inc.  
Corporate Affairs  
100 Borland Way  
Scotts Valley, CA 95066  
(408) 431-4885  
(408) 431-4171 FAX

DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM WITH METHODS FOR ASSISTING A USER WITH  
INPUTTING SOURCE CODE

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

5           A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material which is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15           The present invention relates generally to system and methods for creating software programs. More particularly, the present invention relates to a visual development system and methods for assisting users with the task of creating source code during development of software programs.

20           Before a digital computer may accomplish a desired task, it must receive an appropriate set of instructions. Executed by the computer's microprocessor, these instructions, collectively referred to as a "computer program," direct the operation of the computer. Expectedly, the computer must understand the instructions which it receives before it may undertake the specified activity.

25

Owing to their digital nature, computers essentially only understand "machine code," i.e., the low-level, minute instructions for performing specific tasks -- the sequence of ones and zeros that are interpreted as specific instructions by the computer's microprocessor. Since machine language or machine code is the only language computers actually understand, all other programming languages represent ways of structuring human language so that humans can get computers to perform specific tasks.

While it is possible for humans to compose meaningful programs in machine code, practically all software development today employs one or more of the available programming languages. The most widely used programming languages are the "high-level"

languages, such as C or Pascal. These languages allow data structures and algorithms to be expressed in a style of writing which is easily read and understood by fellow programmers.

A program called a "compiler" translates these instructions into the requisite machine language. In the context of this translation, the program written in the high-level language is called the "source code" or source program. The ultimate output of the compiler is an intermediate module or "object module," which includes instructions for execution by a target processor. In the context of Borland's Turbo Pascal and Object Pascal, the intermediate module is a Pascal "unit" (e.g., .TPU file). Although an object module includes code for instructing the operation of a computer, the object module itself is not usually in a form which may be directly executed by a computer. Instead, it must undergo a "linking" operation before the final executable program is created.

Linking may be thought of as the general process of combining or linking together one or more compiled object modules or units to create an executable program. This task usually falls to a program called a "linker." In typical operation, a linker receives, either from the user or from an integrated compiler, a list of modules desired to be included in the link operation. The linker scans the object modules from the object and library files specified. After resolving interconnecting references as needed, the linker constructs an executable image by organizing the object code from the modules of the program in a format understood by the operating system program loader. The end result of linking is executable code (typically an .EXE file) which, after testing and quality assurance, is passed to the user with appropriate installation and usage instructions.

"Visual" development environments, such as Borland's Delphi™, Microsoft® Visual Basic, and Powersoft's PowerBuilder®, are rapidly becoming preferred development tools for quickly creating production applications. Such environments are characterized by an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) providing a form designer or painter, a property getter/setter manager ("inspector"), a project manager, a tool palette (with objects which the user can drag and drop on forms), an editor, a compiler, and a linker. In general operation, the user "paints" objects on one or more forms, using the form painter. Attributes and properties of the objects on the forms can be modified using the property manager or

inspector. In conjunction with this operation, the user attaches or associates program code with particular objects on screen (e.g., button object); the editor is used to edit program code which has been attached to particular objects.

At various points during this development process, the user "compiles" the project into a program which is executable on a target platform. For Microsoft Visual Basic and Powersoft PowerBuilder, programs are "pseudo-compiled" into p-code ("pseudo" codes) modules. Each p-code module comprises byte codes which, for execution of the program, are interpreted at runtime by a runtime interpreter. Runtime interpreters themselves are usually large programs (e.g., VBRUNxx.DLL for Visual Basic) which must be distributed with the programs in order for them to run. In the instance of Delphi™, on the other hand, programs are compiled and linked into true machine code, thus yielding standalone executable programs; no runtime interpreter is needed.

To a large extent, the progress of a particular software development project is tied to the progress of the task of writing source code or "coding." It is highly desirable, therefore, to facilitate this task. Although there has been some effort to address this task by increasing code reuse, one nevertheless finds that core functionality of a program must often at some point still be coded by hand. Since software components are often constructed from complex classes comprising numerous class members and methods, the developer user typically spends a lot of time looking up help information (e.g., class definitions) for such components before he or she can use such a component. Thus even with the high degree of reuse provided by component-based visual development environments, developers still must spend substantial amounts of time coding functionality to suit a new project, and of that, developers spend substantial amounts of time referencing on-line help information for understanding how to use numerous components.

What is needed is a system providing methods for assisting users with inputting source code -- that is, the fundamental task of writing the individual code statements and expressions which comprise a software program. Such a system should free developers from having to repeatedly reference on-line reference or help materials. The present invention fulfills this and other needs.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A visual development system of the present invention includes a compiler, a linker, and an interface. Through the interface, the developer user "paints" forms with objects and supplies source listings (i.e., enters source code) for the compiler. From the source code or listings, once compiled by the compiler and linked with other run-time or support files by the linker, the system generates a computer program, which may be executed by a target processor(s).

The interface includes an Integrated Development Environment (IDE) interface having a code editor. The IDE provides "Code Insight" functionality to the code editor for displaying context sensitive pop-up windows within a source code file. Of particular interest to the present invention are Code Completion and Code Parameter features of Code Insight.

Code Completion is implemented at the user interface level by displaying a Code Completion dialog box after the user enters a record or class name followed by a period. For a class, the dialog lists the properties, methods and events appropriate to the class. For a record or structure, the dialog lists the data members of the record. To complete entry of the expression, the user need only select an item from the dialog list, whereupon the system automatically enters the selected item in the code.

Code Completion also operates during input of assignment statements. When the user enters an assignment statement for a variable and presses a hot key (e.g., `<ctrl><space_bar>`), a list of arguments valid for the variable is displayed. Here, the user can simply select an argument to be entered in the code. Additionally, the user can select a type which itself is not appropriate (e.g., *record* type) but nevertheless includes a nested data member having a type which is valid. In an integer assignment statement, for example, the user can select a type variable *SMTP1*, a structure of type *TSMTP* which contains an integer data member. Upon the user entering the dot operator after *SMTP1*, the system displays a list of valid data members for *SMTP1*, that is, the data members which are assignment compatible for the integer assignment. Now, the user can simply select a valid member to be entered in the code.

Similarly, the user can bring up a list of arguments when typing a procedure, function, or method call and needs to add an argument. Consider, for instance, a scenario where the user has begun entry of a *SendFile* method call. The *SendFile* method itself is defined elsewhere in the code as follows.

5

```
procedure SendFile(Filename: string);
```

Upon the user entering the opening parenthesis, the system automatically displays parameter information for the call. In this manner, the user can view the required arguments for a  
10 method as he or she enters a method, function, or procedure call.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1A is a block diagram of a computer system in which the present invention may be embodied.

Fig. 1B is a block diagram of a software system provided for directing the operation of the computer system of Fig. 1A.

Fig. 2 is a block diagram of a visual development system of the present invention which includes a compiler, a linker, and an interface.

20 Fig. 3 is a bitmap screen shot illustrating a preferred interface of an application development environment in which the present invention is embodied.

Fig. 4 is a bitmap screen shot illustrating an Environment Options dialog box, for configuring operation of “Code Insight” features of the system.

25 Figs. 5A-E are bitmap screen shots illustrating a “Code Completion” user interface methodology of the present invention.

Fig. 5F is a bitmap screen shot illustrating a “Code Parameters” user interface methodology of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The following description will focus on a preferred embodiment of the present invention (and certain alternatives) embodied in a visual development environment running on an Intel 80x86-compatible computer operating under an event-driven operating system, such as the Microsoft® Windows NT or 9x environment. The present invention, however, is not limited to any particular application or any particular environment. Instead, those skilled in the art will find that the system and methods of the present invention may be advantageously applied to a variety of platforms and environments, whether command-line or GUI based, including MS-DOS, Macintosh, UNIX, NextStep, and the like. Therefore, the description of the exemplary embodiments which follows is for purposes of illustration and not limitation.

### General Architecture

#### **A. System Hardware**

The present invention may be embodied on a computer system such as the system 100 of Fig. 1A, which includes a central processor 101, a main memory 102, an input/output controller 103, a keyboard 104, a pointing device 105 (e.g., mouse, track ball, pen device, or the like), a display device 106, and a mass storage 107 (e.g., removable disk, floppy disk, fixed disk, optical disk (including CD-ROM), and the like). Additional input/output devices, such as a printing device 108, may be provided with the system 100 as desired. As shown, the various components of the system 100 communicate through a system bus 110 or similar architecture. In a preferred embodiment, the system 100 includes an IBM-compatible personal computer, available from a variety of vendors (including IBM of Armonk, NY).

#### **B. System Software**

Illustrated in Fig. 1B, a computer software system 150 is provided for directing the operation of the computer system 100. Software system 150, which is stored in system memory 102 and/or on disk storage 107, includes a kernel or operating system (OS)

160 and a windows shell or interface 180. One or more application programs, such as  
application programs 170 or windows application programs 190, may be "loaded" (i.e.,  
transferred from storage 107 into memory 102) for execution by the system 100. OS 160 and  
shell 180, as well as application software 170, 190, include an interface for receiving user  
commands and data and displaying results and other useful information. Software system  
150 also includes a visual development system 200 of the present invention for developing  
system and application programs. As shown, the development system 200 includes  
components which interface with the system 100 through windows shell 180, as well as  
components which interface directly through OS 160.

In a preferred embodiment, operating system 160 includes MS-DOS and shell  
180 includes Microsoft® Windows, both of which are available from Microsoft Corporation  
of Redmond, WA. Alternatively, components 160 and 180 can be provided by Microsoft  
Windows 9x/Windows NT. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the system may be  
implemented in other platforms, including Macintosh, UNIX, and the like. Application  
software 170, 190 can be any one of a variety of software applications, such as word  
processing, database, spreadsheet, text editors, and the like, including those created by the  
development system 200, which is now described in greater detail.

### C. Development System

Shown in further detail in Fig. 2, the visual development system 200 of the  
present invention includes a compiler 220, a linker 250, an interface 210, and (optional)  
debugger 270. Through the interface, the developer user "paints" forms 202 with objects and  
supplies source listings 201 to the compiler 220. Interface 210 includes both command-line  
driven 213 and Integrated Development Environment (IDE) 211 interfaces, the former  
accepting user commands through command-line parameters, the latter providing menu  
equivalents thereof. From the source code or listings 201, forms 202, and headers/includes  
files 230, the compiler 220 "compiles" or generates object module(s) or "units" 203. In turn,  
linker 250 "links" or combines the units 203 with runtime libraries 260 (e.g., standard  
runtime library functions) to generate program(s) 204, which may be executed by a target

processor (e.g., processor 101 of Fig. 1A). The runtime libraries 260 include previously-compiled standard routines, such as graphics, I/O routines, startup code, math libraries and the like.

A description of the general operation of development system 200 is provided in the manuals accompanying Delphi™: *Users Guide* (Part No. HDA1330WW21770), and *Developer's Guide* (Part No. HDA1330WW21772). Further description can be found in *Object Pascal Language Guide* (Part No. HDA1330WW21771) and *Visual Component Library Reference, Volumes 1 and 2* (Part Nos. HDA1330WW21773, HDA1330WW21774). The disclosures of each of the foregoing (which are available directly from Borland International of Scotts Valley, CA) are hereby incorporated by reference. Description of the use of "method pointers" in the system, for implementing event handling, can be found in the commonly-owned, co-pending application entitled DEVELOPMENT SYSTEMS WITH METHODS FOR TYPE-SAFE DELEGATION OF OBJECT EVENTS TO EVENT HANDLERS OF OTHER OBJECTS, United States Patent Application Serial No. 08/594,928, filed January 31, 1996, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference.

Operation (i.e., "compilation") by a compiler, such as compiler 220, is generally driven by its two main components: a front end and a back end. The "front end" of the compiler parses the source program and builds a parse tree -- a well known tree data structure representing parsed source code. The "back end" traverses the tree and generates code (if necessary) for each node of the tree, in a post-order fashion. For an introduction to the general construction and operation of compilers, see Fischer et al., *Crafting a Compiler with C*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., 1991, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference. Further description of the back end of the compiler is provided in commonly-owned U.S. Patent No. 5,481,708, issued January 2, 1996.

Description of a linker, such as Borland's TurboLinker, is provided in commonly-owned U.S. Patent No. 5,408,665, issued April 18, 1995. The disclosures of each of the foregoing patents are hereby incorporated by reference.

#### D. General development interface

The present invention is embodied in Delphi™, a component-based, rapid application development (RAD) environment available from Borland International of Scotts Valley, CA. Fig. 3 illustrates an application development environment 360, which is provided by Delphi. Many of the traditional requirements of programming, particularly for Windows applications, are handled for the programmer automatically by Delphi.

As shown, the programming environment 360 comprises a main window 361, a form 371, a code editor window 381, and an object manager or "inspector" window 391. The main window 361 itself comprises main menu 362, tool bar buttons 363, and component palette 364. Main menu 362 lists user-selectable commands, in a conventional manner. For instance, the main menu invokes *File*, *Edit*, *View* submenus, and the like. Each submenu lists particular choices which the user can select. Working in conjunction with the main menu, toolbar 363 provides the user with shortcuts to the most common commands from the main menu. The toolbar is configurable by the user for including icons for most of the menu commands.

Forms, such as form 371, are the focal point of nearly every application which one develops in the environment. In typical operation, the user employs the form like a canvas, placing and arranging "components" on it to design the parts of one's user interface. The components themselves are the basic building blocks of applications developed within the environment. Available components appear on the component palette 364, which is displayed as part of the main window 361. The form can be thought of as a component that contains other components. One form serves as the main form for the application; its components interact with other forms and their components to create the interface for an application under development. In this manner, the main form serves as the main interface for an application, while other forms typically serve as dialog boxes, data entry screens, and the like.

During "design" mode operation of the system, the user can change the properties of the form, including resizing the form and moving it anywhere on screen. The form itself includes standard features such as a control menu, minimize and maximize

buttons, title bar, and resizeable borders. The user can change these features, as well as other "properties" of the form, by using the object inspector window 391 to edit the form during design time. Thus, properties define a component's appearance and behavior.

Components are the elements which a user employs to build his or her applications. They include all of the visible parts of an application, such as dialog boxes and buttons, as well as those which are not visible while the application is running (e.g., system timers). In the programming environment 360, components are grouped functionally on different pages of the component palette 364. Each functional group is identified by a tab member, which includes a label indicating the particular nature of the group. For example, components that represent the Microsoft Windows common dialog boxes are grouped on the "Dialogs" page of the palette. The palette can incorporate user-created custom controls, which the user installs onto the palette. Additionally, the user can install third-party components.

The object inspector window 391 enables the user to easily customize the way a component appears and behaves in the application under development. The inspector 391 comprises an object selector field 392, a properties page 393, and an events page 394. The object selector 392 shows the name and type of the currently selected object, such as "Form1," as shown. The properties page 391 lists the attributes of a component placed on a form (or the form itself) which can be customized. The events page, on the other hand, lists "event handlers" for a particular component. Event handlers are specialized procedures which may include user-provided program code.

Code editor 381 is a full-featured editor that provides access to all the code in a given application project. In addition to its basic editing functionality, the code editor 381 provides color syntax highlighting, for assisting the user with entering syntactically-correct code. When a project is first opened, the system automatically generates a page in the code editor for a default unit of source code; in the Object Pascal preferred embodiment, the default unit is named *Unit1*.

The following description will focus on those features of the development system 200 which are helpful for understanding methods of the present invention for implementing code completion in a visual development environment.

5

### Methods for assisting a user with input of source code

#### A. User interface operation

The IDE provides “Code Insight” features to the code editor for displaying context sensitive pop-up windows within a source code file. By default these features are enabled. To disable/re-enable and configure the features, the user invokes (selects 10) Tools|Environment) an Environment Options dialog box 400, as shown in Fig. 4.

As shown, Code Insight provides several features, which generally function as follows.

Feature	Use and functionality
<i>Code Completion</i>	Enter a class name followed by a period in a code file. The list of properties, methods and events appropriate to the class will be displayed. The user can then select the item to be entered in the code. Enter an assignment statement and press <i>&lt;ctrl&gt; &lt;spacebar&gt;</i> . A list of arguments that are valid for the variable is displayed. Select an argument to be entered in the code.
<i>Code Parameters</i>	View the syntax of a method as the user enters it into the code.
<i>Tooltip Expression Evaluation</i>	When the compiler is stopped during debug, the user can view the value of a variable by pointing to it with the cursor.
<i>Code Completion Delay</i>	Set the duration of the pause before a Code Insight dialog box is displayed.
<i>Code Templates</i>	Available templates are listed by name with a short description. Click a template name to display the code

that will be entered in the file when that template is selected. Code displayed in the code window can be edited.

5            *Templates*

The Templates box includes a name and short description for each template.

*Code*

The code box displays the code that will be inserted into a file when the template is selected. The code displayed can be edited.

10

Of particular interest herein are the Code Completion and Code Parameter features.

Code Completion is implemented at the user interface level by displaying a Code Completion dialog box after the user enters a record or class name followed by a period. For a class, the dialog lists the properties, methods and events appropriate to the class. For a record or structure, the dialog lists the data members of the record. As shown in Fig. 5A, for instance, at 501, the user has begun entry of *MainForm*, a class of type *TMainForm*. Upon the user's input of the dot operator, the system automatically displays list dialog 503 next to the current cursor position. Dialog 503 lists the properties, methods and events appropriate to the class. To complete entry of the expression, the user need only select an item from the dialog list, whereupon the system automatically enters the selected item in the code.

25

In a like manner, Fig. 5B illustrates Code Completion for a rectangle structure, *TRect*. Here, the user has declared a variable, *myRect*, of type *TRect*. The *TRect* type defines a rectangle as follows.

30

```
TRect = record
  case Integer of
    0: (Left, Top, Right, Bottom: Integer);
    1: (TopLeft, BottomRight: TPoint);
  end;
```

where *TPoint* is itself a record defined as follows.

35

```
TPoint = record
  X: Longint;
```

Y: Longint;  
end;

In Fig. 5B, upon the user's input of the dot operator, the system automatically displays list dialog 513 next to the current cursor position 515. Dialog 513 lists the data members appropriate to the record (structure). Again, the user need only select an item from the dialog list to complete entry of the expression, whereupon the system automatically enters the selected item in the code.

Code Completion also operates during input of assignment statements. As illustrated in Fig. 5C, when the user enters an assignment statement for integer variable *J* and presses a hot key (e.g., <ctrl><space\_bar>) at 525, a list of arguments 523 valid for the variable is displayed. Here, the user can simply select an argument to be entered in the code. As shown in Figs. 5D-E, the user can select a type which itself is not appropriate (e.g., *record* type) but nevertheless includes a nested data member having a type which is valid. In Fig. 5D, the user selects type variable *SMTP1*, a structure of type *TSMTP*, at 531. Upon the user entering the dot operator after *SMTP1*, the system displays a list of the data members for *SMTP1*, as shown at 541 in Fig. 5E. Now, the user can simply select a valid argument to be entered in the code.

In a like manner, the user can bring up a list of arguments when typing a procedure, function, or method call and needs to add an argument. In Fig. 5F, for instance, the user has begun entry of a *SendFile* method call. The *SendFile* method itself is defined elsewhere in the code as follows.

procedure SendFile(Filename: string);

Upon the user entering the opening parenthesis, the system automatically displays parameter information for the call. The syntax for the argument(s) to the method is displayed, as shown at 551 in Fig. 5F. In this manner, the user can view the required arguments for a method as he or she enters a method, function, or procedure call.

## B. Overview of internal operation

During basic operation, the Integrated Development Environment or IDE invokes the compiler for determining an appropriate context for the source code, based on where the screen cursor is currently positioned within the code. The compiler, in response, compiles the source code up to the current point (of the user's cursor) and then returns a result back to the IDE which describes the current context within the source code. The IDE receives two core pieces of information. If the user has positioned the cursor within the parameter list of a function call, the IDE will receive information from the compiler reporting the name of the function together with the name and the types of the function's formal parameters. With this information, the IDE can display a pop-up menu providing an argument list for the current function, thus eliminating the need to invoke a help system for looking up the function.

The second type of information which the IDE receives relates to symbols or identifiers. If a symbol or identifier would be valid at the current cursor position, the compiler will identify the condition and prepare a list of the valid identifier, together with other valid identifiers, for the cursor position. The IDE, upon receiving this information, can display the list to the user. The user, in turn, selects the desired symbol from the list, for instance using incremental searching technique.

As an example of this feature, consider for instance a variable name followed by the dot operator (e.g., *MyRecord*.). Here, a member name is expected (e.g., *MyRecord.Foo*). Accordingly, the compiler will compute and report the members of the structure (of the variable) which meet any conditions or constraints of the code at the cursor position. If an integer type is expected, for instance, the compiler here would only report integer members. The determination of an appropriate type is based on legal constructs which can be created at the then-current cursor position. In an expression comprising a floating-point assignment, both floating-point and integer data types are valid. Accordingly, both floating-point and integer data members would be displayed for user selection.

The approach also takes into account nested members. Continuing with the example of an integer data type, one of the data members might be a rectangle structure (e.g.,

a structure of type *RECT*) which, in turn, comprises integer data members (i.e., nested data members). In this situation, the system displays the rectangle (nested structure) member since there is a legal way to employ integer data members of that structure. For this example, the user will ultimately need to type an additional dot (i.e., dot operator) to complete selection of the final data member.

5

### C. Core internal methods

The core functionality is provided as follows. A first method, *CompilerKibitz*, is invoked by the IDE to trigger compilation. In invoking the method, the IDE passes in the filename (i.e., source code filename), together with the current line number and column position where the cursor is located. In an exemplary embodiment, the method may be constructed as follows (using the C programming language).

```

100160 void EXPORT CompilerKibitz(CompOptions *options,
15          UnitNameFileNamePair *unitFileNamePairs,
20          const char *stopSrc, int stopLine, int stopCol,
25          char makeFlag, KibitzResult *result)
30          {
35          // ...
40
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500
505
510
515
520
525
530
535
540
545
550
555
560
565
570
575
580
585
590
595
600
605
610
615
620
625
630
635
640
645
650
655
660
665
670
675
680
685
690
695
700
705
710
715
720
725
730
735
740
745
750
755
760
765
770
775
780
785
790
795
800
805
810
815
820
825
830
835
840
845
850
855
860
865
870
875
880
885
890
895
900
905
910
915
920
925
930
935
940
945
950
955
960
965
970
975
980
985
990
995
1000
1005
1010
1015
1020
1025
1030
1035
1040
1045
1050
1055
1060
1065
1070
1075
1080
1085
1090
1095
1100
1105
1110
1115
1120
1125
1130
1135
1140
1145
1150
1155
1160
1165
1170
1175
1180
1185
1190
1195
1200
1205
1210
1215
1220
1225
1230
1235
1240
1245
1250
1255
1260
1265
1270
1275
1280
1285
1290
1295
1300
1305
1310
1315
1320
1325
1330
1335
1340
1345
1350
1355
1360
1365
1370
1375
1380
1385
1390
1395
1400
1405
1410
1415
1420
1425
1430
1435
1440
1445
1450
1455
1460
1465
1470
1475
1480
1485
1490
1495
1500
1505
1510
1515
1520
1525
1530
1535
1540
1545
1550
1555
1560
1565
1570
1575
1580
1585
1590
1595
1600
1605
1610
1615
1620
1625
1630
1635
1640
1645
1650
1655
1660
1665
1670
1675
1680
1685
1690
1695
1700
1705
1710
1715
1720
1725
1730
1735
1740
1745
1750
1755
1760
1765
1770
1775
1780
1785
1790
1795
1800
1805
1810
1815
1820
1825
1830
1835
1840
1845
1850
1855
1860
1865
1870
1875
1880
1885
1890
1895
1900
1905
1910
1915
1920
1925
1930
1935
1940
1945
1950
1955
1960
1965
1970
1975
1980
1985
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
2025
2030
2035
2040
2045
2050
2055
2060
2065
2070
2075
2080
2085
2090
2095
2100
2105
2110
2115
2120
2125
2130
2135
2140
2145
2150
2155
2160
2165
2170
2175
2180
2185
2190
2195
2200
2205
2210
2215
2220
2225
2230
2235
2240
2245
2250
2255
2260
2265
2270
2275
2280
2285
2290
2295
2300
2305
2310
2315
2320
2325
2330
2335
2340
2345
2350
2355
2360
2365
2370
2375
2380
2385
2390
2395
2400
2405
2410
2415
2420
2425
2430
2435
2440
2445
2450
2455
2460
2465
2470
2475
2480
2485
2490
2495
2500
2505
2510
2515
2520
2525
2530
2535
2540
2545
2550
2555
2560
2565
2570
2575
2580
2585
2590
2595
2600
2605
2610
2615
2620
2625
2630
2635
2640
2645
2650
2655
2660
2665
2670
2675
2680
2685
2690
2695
2700
2705
2710
2715
2720
2725
2730
2735
2740
2745
2750
2755
2760
2765
2770
2775
2780
2785
2790
2795
2800
2805
2810
2815
2820
2825
2830
2835
2840
2845
2850
2855
2860
2865
2870
2875
2880
2885
2890
2895
2900
2905
2910
2915
2920
2925
2930
2935
2940
2945
2950
2955
2960
2965
2970
2975
2980
2985
2990
2995
3000
3005
3010
3015
3020
3025
3030
3035
3040
3045
3050
3055
3060
3065
3070
3075
3080
3085
3090
3095
3100
3105
3110
3115
3120
3125
3130
3135
3140
3145
3150
3155
3160
3165
3170
3175
3180
3185
3190
3195
3200
3205
3210
3215
3220
3225
3230
3235
3240
3245
3250
3255
3260
3265
3270
3275
3280
3285
3290
3295
3300
3305
3310
3315
3320
3325
3330
3335
3340
3345
3350
3355
3360
3365
3370
3375
3380
3385
3390
3395
3400
3405
3410
3415
3420
3425
3430
3435
3440
3445
3450
3455
3460
3465
3470
3475
3480
3485
3490
3495
3500
3505
3510
3515
3520
3525
3530
3535
3540
3545
3550
3555
3560
3565
3570
3575
3580
3585
3590
3595
3600
3605
3610
3615
3620
3625
3630
3635
3640
3645
3650
3655
3660
3665
3670
3675
3680
3685
3690
3695
3700
3705
3710
3715
3720
3725
3730
3735
3740
3745
3750
3755
3760
3765
3770
3775
3780
3785
3790
3795
3800
3805
3810
3815
3820
3825
3830
3835
3840
3845
3850
3855
3860
3865
3870
3875
3880
3885
3890
3895
3900
3905
3910
3915
3920
3925
3930
3935
3940
3945
3950
3955
3960
3965
3970
3975
3980
3985
3990
3995
4000
4005
4010
4015
4020
4025
4030
4035
4040
4045
4050
4055
4060
4065
4070
4075
4080
4085
4090
4095
4100
4105
4110
4115
4120
4125
4130
4135
4140
4145
4150
4155
4160
4165
4170
4175
4180
4185
4190
4195
4200
4205
4210
4215
4220
4225
4230
4235
4240
4245
4250
4255
4260
4265
4270
4275
4280
4285
4290
4295
4300
4305
4310
4315
4320
4325
4330
4335
4340
4345
4350
4355
4360
4365
4370
4375
4380
4385
4390
4395
4400
4405
4410
4415
4420
4425
4430
4435
4440
4445
4450
4455
4460
4465
4470
4475
4480
4485
4490
4495
4500
4505
4510
4515
4520
4525
4530
4535
4540
4545
4550
4555
4560
4565
4570
4575
4580
4585
4590
4595
4600
4605
4610
4615
4620
4625
4630
4635
4640
4645
4650
4655
4660
4665
4670
4675
4680
4685
4690
4695
4700
4705
4710
4715
4720
4725
4730
4735
4740
4745
4750
4755
4760
4765
4770
4775
4780
4785
4790
4795
4800
4805
4810
4815
4820
4825
4830
4835
4840
4845
4850
4855
4860
4865
4870
4875
4880
4885
4890
4895
4900
4905
4910
4915
4920
4925
4930
4935
4940
4945
4950
4955
4960
4965
4970
4975
4980
4985
4990
4995
5000
5005
5010
5015
5020
5025
5030
5035
5040
5045
5050
5055
5060
5065
5070
5075
5080
5085
5090
5095
5100
5105
5110
5115
5120
5125
5130
5135
5140
5145
5150
5155
5160
5165
5170
5175
5180
5185
5190
5195
5200
5205
5210
5215
5220
5225
5230
5235
5240
5245
5250
5255
5260
5265
5270
5275
5280
5285
5290
5295
5300
5305
5310
5315
5320
5325
5330
5335
5340
5345
5350
5355
5360
5365
5370
5375
5380
5385
5390
5395
5400
5405
5410
5415
5420
5425
5430
5435
5440
5445
5450
5455
5460
5465
5470
5475
5480
5485
5490
5495
5500
5505
5510
5515
5520
5525
5530
5535
5540
5545
5550
5555
5560
5565
5570
5575
5580
5585
5590
5595
5600
5605
5610
5615
5620
5625
5630
5635
5640
5645
5650
5655
5660
5665
5670
5675
5680
5685
5690
5695
5700
5705
5710
5715
5720
5725
5730
5735
5740
5745
5750
5755
5760
5765
5770
5775
5780
5785
5790
5795
5800
5805
5810
5815
5820
5825
5830
5835
5840
5845
5850
5855
5860
5865
5870
5875
5880
5885
5890
5895
5900
5905
5910
5915
5920
5925
5930
5935
5940
5945
5950
5955
5960
5965
5970
5975
5980
5985
5990
5995
6000
6005
6010
6015
6020
6025
6030
6035
6040
6045
6050
6055
6060
6065
6070
6075
6080
6085
6090
6095
6100
6105
6110
6115
6120
6125
6130
6135
6140
6145
6150
6155
6160
6165
6170
6175
6180
6185
6190
6195
6200
6205
6210
6215
6220
6225
6230
6235
6240
6245
6250
6255
6260
6265
6270
6275
6280
6285
6290
6295
6300
6305
6310
6315
6320
6325
6330
6335
6340
6345
6350
6355
6360
6365
6370
6375
6380
6385
6390
6395
6400
6405
6410
6415
6420
6425
6430
6435
6440
6445
6450
6455
6460
6465
6470
6475
6480
6485
6490
6495
6500
6505
6510
6515
6520
6525
6530
6535
6540
6545
6550
6555
6560
6565
6570
6575
6580
6585
6590
6595
6600
6605
6610
6615
6620
6625
6630
6635
6640
6645
6650
6655
6660
6665
6670
6675
6680
6685
6690
6695
6700
6705
6710
6715
6720
6725
6730
6735
6740
6745
6750
6755
6760
6765
6770
6775
6780
6785
6790
6795
6800
6805
6810
6815
6820
6825
6830
6835
6840
6845
6850
6855
6860
6865
6870
6875
6880
6885
6890
6895
6900
6905
6910
6915
6920
6925
6930
6935
6940
6945
6950
6955
6960
6965
6970
6975
6980
6985
6990
6995
7000
7005
7010
7015
7020
7025
7030
7035
7040
7045
7050
7055
7060
7065
7070
7075
7080
7085
7090
7095
7100
7105
7110
7115
7120
7125
7130
7135
7140
7145
7150
7155
7160
7165
7170
7175
7180
7185
7190
7195
7200
7205
7210
7215
7220
7225
7230
7235
7240
7245
7250
7255
7260
7265
7270
7275
7280
7285
7290
7295
7300
7305
7310
7315
7320
7325
7330
7335
7340
7345
7350
7355
7360
7365
7370
7375
7380
7385
7390
7395
7400
7405
7410
7415
7420
7425
7430
7435
7440
7445
7450
7455
7460
7465
7470
7475
7480
7485
7490
7495
7500
7505
7510
7515
7520
7525
7530
7535
7540
7545
7550
7555
7560
7565
7570
7575
7580
7585
7590
7595
7600
7605
7610
7615
7620
7625
7630
7635
7640
7645
7650
7655
7660
7665
7670
7675
7680
7685
7690
7695
7700
7705
7710
7715
7720
7725
7730
7735
7740
7745
7750
7755
7760
7765
7770
7775
7780
7785
7790
7795
7800
7805
7810
7815
7820
7825
7830
7835
7840
7845
7850
7855
7860
7865
7870
7875
7880
7885
7890
7895
7900
7905
7910
7915
7920
7925
7930
7935
7940
7945
7950
7955
7960
7965
7970
7975
7980
7985
7990
7995
8000
8005
8010
8015
8020
8025
8030
8035
8040
8045
8050
8055
8060
8065
8070
8075
8080
8085
8090
8095
8100
8105
8110
8115
8120
8125
8130
8135
8140
8145
8150
8155
8160
8165
8170
8175
8180
8185
8190
8195
8200
8205
8210
8215
8220
8225
8230
8235
8240
8245
8250
8255
8260
8265
8270
8275
8280
8285
8290
8295
8300
8305
8310
8315
8320
8325
8330
8335
8340
8345
8350
8355
8360
8365
8370
8375
8380
8385
8390
8395
8400
8405
8410
8415
8420
8425
8430
8435
8440
8445
8450
8455
8460
8465
8470
8475
8480
8485
8490
8495
8500
8505
8510
8515
8520
8525
8530
8535
8540
8545
8550
8555
8560
8565
8570
8575
8580
8585
8590
8595
8600
8605
8610
8615
8620
8625
8630
8635
8640
8645
8650
8655
8660
8665
8670
8675
8680
8685
8690
8695
8700
8705
8710
8715
8720
8725
8730
8735
8740
8745
8750
8755
8760
8765
8770
8775
8780
8785
8790
8795
8800
8805
8810
8815
8820
8825
8830
8835
8840
8845
8850
8855
8860
8865
8870
8875
8880
8885
8890
8895
8900
8905
8910
8915
8920
8925
8930
8935
8940
8945
8950
8955
8960
8965
8970
8975
8980
8985
8990
8995
9000
9005
9010
9015
9020
9025
9030
9035
9040
9045
9050
9055
9060
9065
9070
9075
9080
9085
9090
9095
9100
9105
9110
9115
9120
9125
9130
9135
9140
9145
9150
9155
9160
9165
9170
9175
9180
9185
9190
9195
9200
9205
9210
9215
9220
9225
9230
9235
9240
9245
9250
9255
9260
9265
9270
9275
9280
9285
9290
9295
9300
9305
9310
9315
9320
9325
9330
9335
9340
9345
9350
9355
9360
9365
9370
9375
9380
9385
9390
9395
9400
9405
9410
9415
9420
9425
9430
9435
9440
9445
9450
9455
9460
9465
9470
9475
9480
9485
9490
9495
9500
9505
9510
9515
9520
9525
9530
9535
9540
9545
9550
9555
9560
9565
9570
9575
9580
9585
9590
9595
9600
9605
9610
9615
9620
9625
9630
9635
9640
9645
9650
9655
9660
9665
9670
9675
9680
9685
9690
9695
9700
9705
9710
9715
9720
9725
9730
9735
9740
9745
9750
9755
9760
9765
9770
9775
9780
9785
9790
9795
9800
9805
9810
9815
9820
9825
9830
9835
9840
9845
9850
9855
9860
9865
9870
9875
9880
9885
9890
9895
9900
9905
9910
9915
9920
9925
9930
9935
9940
9945
9950
9955
9960
9965
9970
9975
9980
9985
9990
9995
9999
10000
10005
10010
10015
10020
10025
10030
10035
10040
10045
10050
10055
10060
10065
10070
10075
10080
10085
10090
10095
10100
10105
10110
10115
10120
10125
10130
10135
10140
10145
10150
10155
10160
10165
10170
10175
10180
10185
10190
10195
10200
10205
10210
10215
10220
10225
10230
10235
10240
10245
10250
10255
10260
10265
10270
10275
10280
10285
10290
10295
10300
10305
10310
10315
10320
10325
10330
10335
10340
10345
10350
10355
10360
10365
10370
10375
10380
10385
10390
10395
10400
10405
10410
10415
10420
10425
10430
10435
10440
10445
10450
10455
10460
10465
10470
10475
10480
10485
10490
10495
10500
10505
10510
10515
10520
10525
10530
10535
10540
10545
10550
10555
10560
10565
10570
10575
10580
10585
10590
10595
10600
10605
10610
10615
10620
10625
10630
10635
10640
10645
10650
10655
10660
10665
10670
10675
10680
10685
10690
10695
10700
10705
10710
10715
10720
10725
10730
10735
10740
10745
10750
10755
10760
10765
10770
10775
10780
10785
10790
10795
10800
10805
10810
10815
10820
10825
10830
10835
10840
10845
10850
10855
10860
10865
10870
10875
10880
10885
10890
10895
10900
10905
10910
10915
10920
10925
10930
10935
10940
10945
10950
10955
10960
10965
10970
10975
10980
10985
10990
10995

```

As shown, the method is invoked with seven parameters. The first parameter, a pointer-to compiler options (*CompOptions*), is a structure which specifies a “source to compile”; this might be different than the source which the IDE desires the compiler to stop. The file can be specified in a conventional manner, such as by filename (text string). The second parameter is a pointer-to unit/file name pairs. This, in essence, is a table of unit name/file name associations. This is passed to the compiler since the IDE might have some knowledge about where particular files are located (on disk) for corresponding “units” which are included in the user’s project. In a Borland Delphi™ program, for instance, a “uses” statement sets forth particular Pascal “units” which are employed. Since the IDE stores directory information for various files (e.g., library files), the IDE can pass such information on to the compiler via the second parameter.

The next three parameters specify the user’s source position. The *stopSrc* parameter is a (pointer to) character string specifying the “stop source” -- that is, the current source file where the user has stopped (i.e., stopped data entry long enough to invoke the code completion methodology of the present invention). The *stopLine* parameter is an integer data member storing the particular line number where the user stopped in the source code. Similarly, *stopCol* is an integer data member specifying the particular column in the source code where the user stopped.

Finally, the *makeFlag* parameter serves as a flag indicating that the compiler should “make” the program (i.e., compiling all dependent source files for ensuring that the currently-compiled unit or project is up-to-date). This is set to “true” when the IDE has detected that other files have been added, thus requiring a “make.” If, on the other hand, other files have not been added, the flag is set to false and only the current source file is compiled. The last parameter is a pointer to a “Kibitz” result structure, *KibitzResult*. After completion of the method execution, the result structure still does not contain a list of valid symbols. Instead, the IDE invokes yet another method to fill out this particular information in the result structure.

To obtain the actual list of valid symbols, the IDE invokes a *GetValidSymbols* method. The method includes the following prototype.

```

16 G180 int EXPORT GetValidSymbols(const KibitzResult *k, Symbol **result,
17                               GF_Flags *flags, int maxCnt)
18
19     /* Find symbols valid in this context. Find up to maxCnt, and report
20      how many were found. Write them into result, if result <> 0. */
21

```

As shown, the method is invoked by passing in (by reference) the *KibitzResult* structure, as the method's first parameter. Together with the result structure, a vector of symbols is passed in as the second parameter and a vector of *flags* is passed in as the third parameter. Finally, a maximum count is specified in the fourth parameter, for indicating an upper limit on the number of symbols which should be returned (i.e., based on how many the IDE can realistically handle). During system operation, the IDE invokes the *GetValidSymbols* method twice. On the first invocation of the method, the IDE simply specifies NULL for the two vectors whereupon the method returns a count for the number of valid symbols. Based on this first call, the IDE will allocate sufficient memory and then invoke the *GetValidSymbols* method a second time, passing in appropriate pointers to the allocated vectors which are to store the symbol results.

#### D. *KibitzResult* data structure

The result data structure, *KibitzResult*, may be defined as follows.

```

26 Q181. typedef struct
27 {
28     KibitzKind    kind;
29
30     Unit         *unit;
31     int          scopeCnt;
32     Symbol       *scopeList[MAXSCOPES/*scopeCnt*/];
33
34     Symbol       *proc;           // valid for KK_ARGUMENT and KK_STD_ARG
35     Symbol       *formalArg;     // valid for KK_ARGUMENT
36     int          formalInx;     // valid for KK_STD_ARG, 1-based
37
38     unsigned     validTypes;    // valid for KK_TYPE, KK_STD_ARG
39     Type         *validType;    // valid for KK_EXPR, KK_CONST_EXPR
40
41     Token        validToken;    // valid for KK_TOKEN
42     TokenClass   validTokens;   // valid for KK_TOKENS
43     KibitzPos    pos;          // position of kibitz point
44     char         partialIden[64]; // may have found a partial identifier

```

```

// KK_ARGUMENT: where the parms were; [0] <=> '(' | '[' pos
LineCol      paramPos[MAXPARAMS+1];

} KibitzResult;

```

5

As shown, the data structure is a record storing context information. Of the various data members of the record, the most important is *KibitzKind*, an enumerated type indicating the "kind" of source code situations the system is currently in. The *KibitzKind* data type is itself defined as follows.

10

```

T,0)90     typedef enum
15. {
    KK_NONE,           // Not something we support
    KK_FIELD,          // A field of a record/object/class
    KK_ARGUMENT,        // An actual argument to a user-declared
                       // function or procedure
    KK_STD_ARG,        // An actual argument to a standard function/procedure
    KK_TYPE,           // A type
    KK_EXPR,            // An expression
    KK_STMT,            // A stmt
    KK_CONST_EXPR,      // A constant expression
    KK_TOKEN,           // A token
    KK_TOKEN_CLASS,     // A set of tokens
    KK_PROC_DECL,       // A procedure, function, method, constructor,
                       // or destructor declaration
25.   KK_ERROR,          // Error case where we don't have enough info
    KibitzKinds,
} KibitzKind;

```

30

Two simple types are *KK\_NONE* and *KK\_ERROR*. *KK\_NONE* indicates that the cursor is currently positioned at a location where code completion is not supported, such as positions within a user's comment. *KK\_ERROR*, on the other hand, indicates an error condition; this occurs when the user's program contains so many errors that the system cannot correctly determine appropriate code completion. The *KK\_FIELD* data type indicates a field of a record (structure), object, or class. For instance, if the user types *Form1*, a field member of *Form1* is expected. *KK\_ARGUMENT* indicates that the system expects an actual argument to a user-declared function or procedure. Here, further restrictions can be imposed. If the argument is a *var* argument, then the argument must be a variable. If, on the other hand, the argument is a value, then an expression is acceptable.

KK\_STD\_ARG indicates that an actual argument to a compiler-defined, standard function/procedure is expected. Since such functions or procedures have special requirements, the system treats them separately. KK\_TYPE indicates that the system expects a "type," such as within a declaration statement. KK\_EXPR indicates that an expression is expected. KK\_STMT indicates that a statement is expected -- that is, the system expects any valid identifier which can start a statement. Here, both a variable or procedure would be acceptable, for instance. KK\_CONST\_EXPR indicates that a constant expression is expected. This is typically employed for declarations, such as for string declarations. A type expression can be applied here, such as for indicating that an integer expression is required.

KK\_TOKEN and KK\_TOKEN\_CLASS indicate that the compiler is expecting a token or a particular type or class of token, respectively. In Borland Delphi™ (using Object Pascal), for instance, when an "if" token followed by a boolean expression is encountered, the compiler then expects a "then" token. KK\_PROC\_DECL indicates a procedure (function, method, or the like) declaration. In such a case, the system can display a list of all such procedures, functions, or methods which were forward declared.

Returning to the description of the *KibitzResult* structure, the second data member is *unit*. The *unit* data member points to a data structure (internal to the compiler) which represents the unit, as compiled by the compiler. This is followed by a scope count, *scopeCnt*, and a scope list, *scopeList*, for representing the available scopes at this particular point in the source code. For instance, when the user starts a statement within a procedure, all of the local symbols of the procedure represent one scope. If the procedure is in fact a *class* method, then all of the fields of "self" (i.e., of the "self" hidden parameter) would represent another scope. The symbols of the unit would represent still yet another scope. Still further, there are symbols of other units which the current unit employs giving rise to yet another scope. The scope count and scope list keep track of which of these scopes are available at a given point in the source (where the cursor is positioned). This information is ultimately used by the *GetValidSymbols* method which "walks" the scope list for determining the valid symbols for the current source position under exam.

The next data member, *proc*, is a pointer to a procedure symbol which is employed when the system is processing a call. The *formalArg* data member is a symbol pointer which points to the formal argument which the cursor is currently positioned at. For a function having three arguments, for example, the cursor could be positioned at the second argument. The next data member or field, *formalInx*, is an integer data member providing an index into the formal arguments. The *validTypes* data member is an internal encoding of the compiler which keeps track of which types will be valid at this point (in the source code). In the cases where the system expects an expression, such as an assignment into a variable, the pointer to *validType* references the valid type for the variable.

The next two data members, *validToken* and *validTokens*, keep track of the expected tokens and token class. A token class represents a scenario where several tokens can be valid. The next data member, *pos*, represents the position where the user's cursor is positioned in the source code. The *pos* data member is implemented as a record or structure of type *KibitzPos* which may be implemented as follows.

---

10210    *typedef struct*  
 11    {  
 12       short fileIndex;  
 13       short lineNumber; // up to here identical to SourcePos  
 14       short columnNo; // 1-based  
 15   } KibitzPos;

---

As shown, the *KibitzPos* structure specifies a file (via an index), a line number, and a column number.

The next data member of *KibitzResult* is *partialIden*, which is employed for instances where the system has found a partial identifier. Here, the IDE will employ the information to perform an incremental search of available identifiers for attempting to find a match. As its final data member, the *KibitzResult* structure includes a parameter position array, *paramPos*. This indicates the source position of the actual parameter for a function call.

### E. *UnitNameFileNamePair* data structure

The *UnitNameFileNamePair* data structure may be constructed as follows.

---

*T,0220*

```
typedef struct
{
    uchar *unitName;
    char *unitFileName;
} UnitNameFileNamePair;
```

---

10 This data structure is employed to keep track of unit names (e.g., simple Pascal names in Borland Delphi™) and filenames (i.e., qualified by file directory and drive). The compiler employs this data structure for determining a filename (i.e., the name of a file on disk) which corresponds to a unit name encountered in the source code.

*T,0221*

15 The system defines the following flags which are used when a list of identifiers are reported back from the compiler to the IDE, for keeping track of whether a particular identifier itself is acceptable or whether the identifier itself only has a field which would be acceptable.

---

*T,0221*

```
20 typedef enum
{
    GF_NORMAL      = 0x00, // Normal case
    GF_SCOPE       = 0x01, // Symbol was included because it has a scope
    GF_METATOKEN   = 0x02, // Symbol is a metatoken (e.g. 'identifier')
} GF_Flags;
```

---

25 This information is employed by the IDE to make a “visual” distinction between the two cases. Consider, for instance, an assignment statement involving an integer variable, as follows.

---

*T,0222*

```
30     var
           I, j : Integer;
           r : TRect;

           begin
               I := // complete this statement
```

---

In the snippet above, *I* is an integer variable and, thus, the statement can be completed by assigning the integer *j* as follows.

I := j;

Alternatively, the statement can be completed by assigning an integer member of *r* (which itself is a variable of type *TRect*). To indicate these two choices, the IDE displays a list showing *j* normally and showing *r* with an ellipsis appended to it. This informs the user that *r* is acceptable but that the user has to still complete the entry with a dot (for accessing a field of *r*). In this case, the *GF\_SCOPE* would be set for *r*, indicating that it is acceptable but only because it has a field which is acceptable.

10

## F. Token-based processing

The basic internal operation of the system is as follows. As an initial task, the system lexical analyzer has to take the source position that the IDE passed to it and transform it into a special token. In general compiler operation, the function of the lexical analyzer is to take source text and transform it into a stream of tokens. Consider the following code snippet.

if I = | 0 then

(where | represents cursor position)

In operation, the lexical analyzer converts the expression into a sequence of tokens, for instance as follows.

---

if I = | 0 then

25           (\*  
T, 0230       Tokens:  
                TK\_IF  
                TK\_IDENT  
                TK\_EQUAL  
30              TK\_KIBITZ  
                \*)

---

Here, "if" is represented by the *TK\_IF* token, *I* is represented by the *TK\_IDENT* (i.e., identifier) token, and so forth and so on. In accordance with the present invention, the

scanner reports a special token, *TK\_KIBITZ*, for marking the current cursor position. In this manner, the system is easily able to identify the cursor position in the stream of tokens.

Exemplary method steps for performing this operation are as follows.

```

5      /*
T) 0240      Lexical analyser (scanner) delivers special token (TK_KIBITZ) at cursor
               position. The following method checks against stop line once per
               line, then sets special marker character in the line buffer.
*/
10
void Scan(void)
{
    switch (GetNextInputChar())
    {
15
        case '\n':
            scannerState.lineNum++;
            if (scannerState.lineNum == stopLine
                && strcmp(scannerState.fileName, stopSrc) == 0)
            {
                /* set special character to mark stop position */
                lineBuffer[stopCol] = 0;
            }
            break;

25
        case 0:
            if (scannerState.column == stopCol)
            {
                token.tok = TK_KIBITZ; // insert special token
                break;
            }
30
            /* ... */
    }
}

```

As shown, the implementation comprises a “switch” statement over the next input character (i.e., from the source code stream). Two special cases are of interest. The first case of interest is a line feed character. Upon reaching such an input character, the system at this case arm checks whether the current line number and filename correspond to that specified for the “stop” source (i.e., the source file where the user’s cursor is positioned). As shown above, the line number is examined first so that the system can perform an integer comparison first, before a more expensive string comparison is undertaken. In the event that the line number and source filename indicate that the current input character corresponds to the cursor position, the system proceeds to mark the current line (maintained in a line buffer) with a special character, such as zero (i.e., *NULL*).

After the line is marked, the system "switches" on subsequent input characters until it encounters the value of zero (i.e., the special character inserted above to mark the stop position). The system at this point confirms that this is the position of interest by comparing the current column (in the source stream) with the column from the IDE. If the current column is equal to the column where the system wishes to stop, then the system inserts the special token -- *TK\_KIBITZ* -- that tells the parser to stop at this point. This method step of the scanner illustrates the transformation from a stop source, a stop line, and a stop column to a special token which instructs the parser where to stop.

The parser in turn compiles the source normally, including the declaration, until it encounters the special token. During this compilation, however, the parser will skip compilation of function bodies unless a particular function body contains the special token. For code completion, in accordance with the present invention, the code contained within function bodies (apart from a current function which the user cursor might be positioned within) is not relevant. Since function bodies are skipped, no code is generated for those function bodies and the background compilation for code completion, therefore, occurs quickly.

During processing, the parser maintains a stack of "kibitz" contexts. When the parser encounters a *TK\_KIBITZ* token, it returns the context information back to the IDE. This is illustrated, for instance, by the following snippet.

*variable := FooFunction( a, b,*  
*^ KK\_EXPR, type of variable*  
*^ KK\_ARGUMENT, FooFunction*  
*- ^ Argument 3, formal parameter*

Note:  
 Parser keeps stack of kibitz contexts (on the runtime stack, for a recursive decent parser), and returns the information back to the IDE when it finds the *TK\_KIBITZ* token.

An exemplary method for skipping the body of functions, using the kibitz token, may be constructed as follows.

```

10260 /* Parser parses declarations fully, tries to skip function bodies,
5      suppresses code generation. Routine to skip keeps track of nesting of
      structured statements, returns TRUE if the function body was skipped,
      FALSE otherwise.
 */
10     static int SkipBody(void)
15     {
20         int nestLevel;
25         long startPos;
30
35         startPos = ScannerPos();
40
45         nestLevel = 0;
50
55         while (1)
60         {
65             switch (token.tok)
70             {
75                 case TK_CASE:
80                 case TK_TRY:
85                 case TK_ASM:
90                 case TK_INITIALIZATION:
95                 case TK_BEGIN: nestLevel++; break;
100                case TK_END: nestLevel--; break;
105                case TK_EOF: nestLevel = -1; break;
110                case TK_KIBITZ:
115                    ScannerSeek(startPos);
120                    Scan();
125                    return FALSE;
130
135                if (nestLevel < 0)
140                    break;
145                    Scan();
150
155            }
160        }
165    }

```

40 The *SkipBody* method is invoked when the parser detects the beginning of a  
function body. The method operates as follows. At the outset, the method remembers the  
current position, as storing it to *startPos*. Next, the method initializes a local variable,  
*nestLevel*, which serves to keep track of the nesting of structured statements. This is  
followed by a “while” loop which switches on token. In operation, the loop reads a token and  
then switches to a particular case arm based on token type. If the token type marks the  
beginning of a structured statement, the *nestLevel* counter is incremented. Conversely, if  
this token marks the end of a structured statement, *nestLevel* is decremented. If the method  
encounters the special “kibitz” token, it returns to the beginning of the function by invoking  
*ScannerSeek*. Thereafter, the method returns “false” as the method has not been skipped.

After completing execution of the switch statement, the method can determine whether it has found the final end of the function.

In summary, the basic approach is to go through the function as fast as possible (i.e., look through the tokens of the function as fast as possible), keeping track of nesting. If the end is encountered, the method can return true. If, on the other hand, a special "kibitz" token is encountered, the method repositions the parser (scanner) to the beginning of the function and returns "false." In such a case, the parser will resume parsing forward as more detail about the function is required, since it is the function where the user's cursor is positioned.

10

## G. Scopes

While parsing, the compiler keeps track of accessible scopes. For instance, when entering a particular statement, the parser enters a scope, and at the end of the statement the parser exits the scope. Scopes that are accessible include "with" scopes (i.e., Pascal *with* statements), local symbols of any current procedures the parser is within, the symbols of the current unit (i.e., symbols global to the unit), and symbols of any units employed by the current unit (i.e., imported symbols).

In order to handle method function calls directly, the parser maintains a stack of contexts which it is in. Just as the computer system stack must maintain a separate copy of local variables for each function invocation, the parser mirrors the approach so that it can keep track of the appropriate context. During parsing of a function call, for instance, when the parser encounters the left paren (i.e., opening parenthesis), the parser "pushes" onto its stack information indicating it is now parsing within the context of a function argument. When the parser has parsed the right paren (i.e., closing parenthesis), the parser "pops" the previously-pushed stack entry, for indicating that it is no longer within the context of function argument. If the function call occurred in an assignment statement, for instance, the context information would indicate at this point that the parser is popping back into the context of an expression. In the instance of nested function calls (e.g., recursion), the stack would contain entries for different argument contexts.

When in the context of an argument list for either a user-declared or standard function, the IDE employs ancillary or helper functions, to get information pertaining to the function and parameter symbols. Exemplary helper functions include the following (shown in function prototype form).

5

```

T, 0280 /* In the case of KK_ARGUMENT or KK_STD_ARG, we are in a call to a
           user-declared or standard function, respectively. The IDE uses the
           information in the result record and the following compiler entry
           points to build a parameter list to show to the user:
10    */
15
      int EXPORT GetSymbols(Symbol *root, SQ_Flags flags,
                            Symbol **symList);
      /* used to get the parameters of a procedure/function/method */
      GSF_Flags EXPORT GetSymbolFlags(Symbol *sym);
      /* used to get information about parameter and function symbols */
20
      void EXPORT GetSymbolText(Symbol *sym, String text, ST_Flags flags);
      /* used to get names of symbols */

      Symbol *EXPORT GetResultType(Symbol *sym);
      /* used to get the result type of a function */

```

25

30

35

The *GetSymbols* method is employed to get the parameters (symbols) of a called procedure, function, or method. The *root* parameter is the routine being called; this is obtained from the result record. The *SQ\_Flags* parameter is employed to indicate whether the *GetSymbols* routine should return parameter information. The *symList* parameter is a pointer to a result vector, which is employed for returning the parameters. The *GetSymbolFlags* method is employed for getting additional information about parameter and function symbols, such as whether a parameter is a *var* or *const* parameter. The routine can also be invoked on the procedure or function symbol itself for determining whether the symbol is a procedure, function, or method. The *GetSymbolText* method is employed to get the actual text for a symbol, such as the actual name of a parameter. The routine can also be used (with a particular flag setting) to get the name of the type of symbol. This information is used by the IDE to construct the parameter list for display to users. The *GetResultType* routine is employed to get the result type of a function (i.e., the symbol that represents the

result type of a function). After obtaining this symbol, the IDE in turn can invoke *GetSymbolText* for getting the text or name for the symbol.

## H. Determining valid symbols

As previously described, the *GetValidSymbols* method is employed to get a list of valid symbols. As previously described, the IDE will usually invoke the method twice; first, to get a count of valid symbols, and second to actually get the result (of symbols). In all cases except *KK\_NONE* and *KK\_ERROR*, the IDE can request a list of valid symbols via *GetValidSymbols*. As the IDE has no way of knowing how much space needs to be allocated for the result, the usual procedure is to call *GetValidSymbols* with a result and flags parameter of 0 in which case only the count of valid symbols will be reported. After allocating big enough buffers, the system can pass the information to a second call with otherwise identical parameters. Internally, *GetValidSymbols* typically invokes logic steps that traverse or walk all accessible scopes, applying to each symbol found in a “validation” function that checks whether the symbol would be accessible. Next, the routine invokes additional logic steps for examining each symbol for determining whether it is valid in the current context. If the symbol would be acceptable, it is reported to the IDE; if not, on the other hand, it is skipped.

An exemplary validation function for the context *KK\_CONST\_EXPR* (i.e., constant expression), for instance, may be constructed as follows (simplified for clarity of description).

```
static int ValidConstExpr(const KibitzResult *k, Symbol *actualArg)
{
    Type    *argType;
    25 T, U290
    if   (actualArg->kind != SY_CONST)
        return FALSE;
    30
        return IsAssCompat(k->validType, actualArg->type);
}
```

As shown, the function first checks whether the symbol is a constant; if not, the symbol is immediately rejected. If the symbol is a constant, the function then determines whether it is

assignment compatible with the *type* which is expected. The function returns "true" if the symbol is assignment compatible; otherwise, the function returns "false."

Routines are provided for walking different kinds of scopes. A routine that traverses the list of local symbols for a unit, for instance, may be embodied as follows.

5

```

T,0300
10
static int WalkUnitLocals(Symbol *sym, const KibitzResult *k,
    Symbol **result, GF_Flags *flags, int maxCnt, ValidSymbolProc *v)
{
    Type   *t;
    int      cnt;

    cnt = 0;
    for  ( ; sym; sym = sym->next)
    {
15        if  (cnt >= maxCnt)      // stop if we reached the maximum count
            return  cnt;

        if  (sym->name[0] < 'A') // skip invisible, compiler-generated
            continue;           // symbols
20        if  (sym->decLevel != 0) // skip local procedures
            continue;

        if  (v(k, sym, 0))      // is this symbol acceptable?
        {
            if  (result)
                result[cnt] = sym; // yes: report it back
            if  (flags)
                flags[cnt] = GF_NORMAL;
            cnt++;
        }
30        else if  (SymbolHasScope(sym, 0, k, v)) // does it have an
            continue;           // acceptable field
        {
            if  (result)
                result[cnt] = sym; // yes: report it
            if  (flags)
                flags[cnt] = GF_SCOPE; // and flag it as such
            cnt++;
        }
35    }
40}
    return  cnt;
}

```

45

The method is invoked with the following parameters: a list of symbols (i.e., local symbols of the unit), a result record, a result vector, a flags parameter, a maximum count, and a pointer to a validation procedure. In operation, the method traverses the symbol list, keeping track of the count of acceptable symbols. At the "for" loop, the method determines whether

it already has a sufficient number of symbols; if so, the method is done and may return. Otherwise, the method enters the "for" loop.

Within the "for" loop, the method skips compiler-generated names; these will have names which are not legal for the underlying computer language (e.g., Pascal). Next, the method skips objects which are not at the global declaration level, such as local functions. If the symbol passes these tests, the method applies a validation function to it. If the validation function returns "true," then the symbol is flagged as a normal symbol. Even if the symbol is not accepted by the validation function, it might nevertheless have a field which is acceptable (e.g., a member field of a structure). If the symbol (or a field of a symbol) is acceptable, it is added to the *result* array; corresponding flags for the symbol are set in the *flags* array. As an optimization, the IDE performs a *CompilerKibitz* call in the background at certain times, so used units, source files, and the like get loaded into the compiler or the operating system's disk cache.

### I. Avoiding infinite cycles

A particular difficulty is encountered when determining whether a structure has an acceptable field. If precautions are not taken, the system might be trapped in a "cycle." Consider, for instance, a *TForm* data structure. A *TForm* structure often includes components which are themselves of type *TForm*. Accordingly, extra care is required to avoid infinite incursion when traversing or walking a field list of a structure.

To prevent this problem, the system adopts the following approach. For each type examined, the system keeps track of the "state" of the type -- that is, what the system knows about the type. In an exemplary embodiment, four states are defined as follows.

---

```

25      // states a type can be in - all types start out as TS_UNKNOWN
1 0310  typedef enum
{          TS_UNKNOWN, // don't know whether this type is acceptable
30          TS_FALSE,   // know this type is not acceptable
              TS_TRUE,    // know this type is acceptable
              TS_ACTIVE,  // working to find out whether this type is acceptable
} TypeState;

```

---

The first state, *TS\_UNKNOWN*, indicates that the system does not know whether the type is acceptable. The second state, *TS\_FALSE*, indicates that the system knows that the type is not acceptable. As an example of use, an assignment statement which assigns a floating point variable to an integer variable will not be acceptable and, thus, would be identified as *TS\_FALSE*. *TS\_TRUE*, the third state, indicates that the type is acceptable. Finally, *TS\_ACTIVE* indicates a state where the system is working to determine whether the type is acceptable.

A method, *SymbolHasScope*, for determining whether a symbol has an acceptable type for a given context may be constructed as follows.

```

10
10 0320    // find out whether a symbol with acceptable type can be reached
10 0320    // from type
10 0320    static int SymbolHasScope(Type *type, const KibitzResult *k,
10 0320        ValidSymbolProc *v)
15
10 0320    {
10 0320        Type    *type;
10 0320
10 0320        switch  (type->g.form)
10 0320        {
20      case  TF_CLASSREF:   // only these types have scopes at all
10 0320        case  TF_INSTANCE:
10 0320        case  TF_RECORD:
10 0320        case  TF_OBJECT:
10 0320            switch  (GetTypeState(type))
10 0320            {
25      case  TS_TRUE:    return  TRUE;           // know the answer is TRUE
10 0320            case  TS_FALSE:   return  FALSE;          // know the answer is FALSE
10 0320            case  TS_ACTIVE:  return  FALSE;          // cut off recursion here
10 0320            case  TS_UNKNOWN: return  FALSE;          // need to do some work here
30      SetTypeState(type, TS_ACTIVE);
10 0320            if    (WalkFieldScope(type, k, v)) // any acceptable fields?
10 0320            {
10 0320                SetTypeState(type, TS_TRUE); // yes: remember
10 0320                return TRUE;             // answer is TRUE
35
10 0320            }
10 0320            else
10 0320            {
40      SetTypeState(type, TS_FALSE); // no: remember
10 0320            return FALSE;            // answer is FALSE
45
10 0320    }
10 0320
10 0320    default:
10 0320        return  FALSE;           // type doesn't have scope
10 0320    }

```

As shown, the method is implemented as a large case or “switch” statement which serves to determine whether the type has any scope at all (i.e., has fields). If it does have a scope, the method proceeds to retrieve the state of the type. This state is tested by a nested “switch” statement. If the state is known to be true (*TS\_TRUE*) or false (*TS\_FALSE*), the method can return “true” or “false,” respectively. If, on the other hand, the state is “active” (*TS\_ACTIVE*), the method returns “false,” for presenting incursion or reentry.

If the type is unknown, the method proceeds to determine the type as follows. First, if type state is set to “active” (*TS\_ACTIVE*), for indicating that the system is in the process of determining the state. Next, the method traverses or walks the list of symbols for this scope, by invoking a *WalkFieldScope* helper routine. This call will determine whether the structure has a field which is accepted by the validation function. If it is acceptable, *WalkFieldScope* will return “true,” whereupon the state of the type can be set to “true” (*TS\_TRUE*). Otherwise, the state is set to “false” (*TS\_FALSE*). Also, if the type does not have a scope, the *SymbolHasScope* method returns “false.”

As an optimization to avoid having to initialize all types to *TS\_UNKNOWN* before calling the above routine, an additional table and an auxiliary field in the type structure is used to cache type results as follows.

```

15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
0330

    - typedef struct
    {
        TypeState typeState; // state of the type
        Type      *type;    // pointer back to type
    } TypeEntry;

    static TypeEntry *typeTab;
    static ulong     typeCnt;
    static ulong     maxCnt;

```

Here, the system can index into the table for determining whether the type has already been processed before.

While the invention is described in some detail with specific reference to a single-preferred embodiment and certain alternatives, there is no intent to limit the invention to that particular embodiment or those specific alternatives. Thus, the true scope of the

present invention is not limited to any one of the foregoing exemplary embodiments but is instead defined by the appended claims.